

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,087	03/31/2004	Jason Hensley	F02.2-11374-US01	7351	
490	7590 08/07/2006		EXAMINER		
•	RRETT & STEINKRA	HARTMAN	HARTMANN, GARY S		
6109 BLUE SUITE 2000	CIRCLE DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNETON	MINNETONKA, MN 55343-9185			3671	
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/815,087	HENSLEY ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Gary Hartmann	3671		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is not soft time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a) <u></u>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M. This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, p			
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to	r election requirement. r. a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 3-8-6.	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith (U.S. Patent 6,520,715).

Smith discloses a screed including an elongated frame (34) to which a plurality of individual screed units (Figure 5) are slidably attached (Figure 4). Each of the screed units includes a lower screed plate (24) and are attached such that all of the plates may be aligned to form a continuous screeding line (Figure 7, for example) and independently movable relative to an adjacent screed between lowest and highest positions (Figure 4, for example).

The units are movable by a hydraulic cylinder (36) and piston (28).

Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Harsch (U.S. Patent 2,412,398)

Harsch discloses a screed including an elongated frame (8, 9, 10, 11) to which a plurality of individual screed units (Figure 5) are slidably attached (Figure 1, for example). Each of the screed units includes a lower screed plate (3) and are attached such that all of the plates may be

Art Unit: 3671

aligned to form a continuous screeding line (Figure 2, for example) and independently movable relative to an adjacent screed between lowest and highest positions (Figure 1).

There is optionally a hydraulic mechanism to move the units.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith, as applied above.

Smith does not teach the opposing side forms; however, it is well known to use side forms in order to contain uncured material. For this purpose, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used side forms with the material worked by Smith.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 3671

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 571-272-6989. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday, 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 571-272-6998. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Gary Hartmann
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3671

gh